

Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for Modified Quantum Algebras of Arbitrary Rank 2 Cases

HOSHINO Ayumu*

Department of Mathematics, Sophia University,
Tokyo 102-8554, JAPAN

Abstract

We describe the crystal bases of the modified quantum algebras and give the explicit form of the highest (or lowest) weight vector of its connected component $B_0(\lambda)$ containing the unit element for arbitrary rank 2 cases. We also present the explicit form of $B_0(\lambda)$ containing the highest (or lowest) weight vector by the polyhedral realization method.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Modified quantum algebra and its crystal base	3
3	Polyhedral realization of $B(\pm\infty)$ and $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{a\lambda})$	6
4	Highest and lowest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$ for affine and hyperbolic types of rank 2	9
5	Polyhedral realization of $B_0(\lambda)$ for affine and hyperbolic types of rank 2	18
A	Highest and lowest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$ for classical types of rank 2	23
A.1	A_2 case	23
A.2	B_2 case	24
A.3	G_2 case	24

1 Introduction

In 1985, Drinfel'd and Jimbo introduced independently the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) := \langle e_i, f_i, q^h \rangle_{i \in I}$ ($I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$), which is called *q-analogue* of the universal enveloping algebra for a symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The nilpotent part $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) (= \langle f_i \rangle_{i \in I})$, $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) (= \langle e_i \rangle_{i \in I})$ and the integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules have the “crystal base” and the crystal base of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$) is denoted by $(L(\infty), B(\infty))$ (resp. $(L(-\infty), B(-\infty))$).

*e-mail address: a-hoshin@mm.sophia.ac.jp

The theory of “crystal base” was established by Kashiwara [3], [4] and it develops the representation theory of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in the side of combinatorics. We can deal with the representation theory using combinatorial methods. One of the great properties of crystal base is that a tensor product of crystal base is again a crystal base of tensor product of corresponding modules [4]. We define the “crystal” as a combinatorial notion abstracting the properties of crystal base without assuming the corresponding modules. We can define the tensor product structure on crystals in a similar manner to crystal bases.

The modified quantum algebra $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda$ (resp. $U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda := U_q(\mathfrak{g})/\sum U_q(\mathfrak{g})(q^h - q^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle})$) is defined by modifying the Cartan part of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules always have weight space decompositions, $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is an algebra more appropriate than $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for the research of the modules of the category $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$. Lusztig [8] showed that $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has a crystal base $(L(\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})), B(\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})))$ (resp. $(L(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda), B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda))$) and Kashiwara [7] described that the existence of the following isomorphism of crystals:

$$B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) \cong B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes B(-\infty)$$

where T_λ is the crystal given in Section 2.

Polyhedral realizations of crystal bases is one of the methods for describing the crystal base explicitly, which was introduced by Nakashima and Zelevinsky [11]. We can describe a vector of crystal bases as a lattice point of certain convex polyhedron in an infinite $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice by this method. This method can be applied to not only classical types but also affine or more general Kac-Moody types. In [11], polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$ is given when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of arbitrary rank 2 cases, of A_n and of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ and in [10], Nakashima gave the polyhedral realization of the crystal base $B(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P_+$) of irreducible integrable highest weight module when $\mathfrak{g}$ is in the same cases as above. He and the author [1] applied this method to the modified quantum algebras and had the polyhedral realization of $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$, which is, in general, not connected. So, we also described some specific connected component of $B(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \in P$) containing $u_\infty \otimes t_\lambda \otimes u_{-\infty}$ (we denote this connected component by $B_0(\lambda)$) and the explicit form of the highest weight vector in $B_0(\lambda)$ under certain assumption on the weight λ for $\mathfrak{g} = A_n$ and $A_1^{(1)}$.

In this paper, we give the polyhedral realization of the connected component $B_0(\lambda)$ containing the highest (or lowest) weight vector for the modified quantum algebras of arbitrary rank 2 cases. When $\mathfrak{g}$ is of classical type, we know that $B_0(\lambda)$ contains a highest weight vector and a lowest one [7], [8]. But when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of affine or hyperbolic type, $B_0(\lambda)$ may not necessarily contain either a highest weight vector or a lowest one. Hence, we give the conditions of a weight λ for existence of the highest (or lowest) weight vector in $B_0(\lambda)$ for affine or hyperbolic type and describe the explicit form of the highest (or lowest) weight vector in $B_0(\lambda)$. Furthermore, using the explicit form of highest (or lowest) weight vector, we present the polyhedral realization of $B_0(\lambda)$.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we recall the definition of the modified quantum algebra and its crystal base. In Section 3, we review the method of polyhedral realization and give the polyhedral realization of $B(\pm\infty)$

and $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$. In Section 4, for the modified quantum algebra of affine or hyperbolic type of rank 2 we describe the explicit form of the highest (or lowest) weight vector in the connected component $B_0(\lambda)$ and give the condition of a weight λ for existence of the highest (or lowest) weight vector in $B_0(\lambda)$. In Section 5, we present the polyhedral realization of $B_0(\lambda)$ containing the highest (or lowest) weight vector. In the appendix, we show the results of classical types of A_2 , B_2 and G_2 .

2 Modified quantum algebra and its crystal base

In this section, we review the definition of the modified quantum algebra $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and its crystal base. First of all, we define the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We fix a finite index set I and let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$ be a generalized symmetrizable Cartan matrix, $(t, \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}, \{h_i\}_{i \in I})$ be the associated Cartan data and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the associated Kac-Moody Lie algebra where α_i (resp. h_i) is called a simple root (resp. simple coroot). Let P be a weight lattice with a $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$, P^* be a dual lattice including $\{h_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $Q := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Q}(q)\alpha_i$ be a root lattice. We define the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the associative algebra with 1 over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ generated by e_i, f_i, q^h ($i \in I, h \in P^*$) with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} q^0 &= 1, \quad q^h q^{h'} = q^{h+h'} && \text{for } h, h' \in P^*, \\ q^h e_i q^{-h} &= q^{\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} e_i, \quad q^h f_i q^{-h} = q^{-\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle} f_i && \text{for } i \in I, h \in P^*, \\ [e_i, f_j] &= \delta_{i,j} \frac{t_i - t_i^{-1}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}} && \text{for } i, j \in I \end{aligned}$$

where $q_i = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2}$ and $t_i = q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)h_i/2}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k e_i^{(k)} e_j e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} = \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k f_i^{(k)} f_j f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j \in I, i \neq j$$

where for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$[n]_i = \frac{q_i^n - q_i^{-n}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \quad [n]_i! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_i$$

and we define $e_i^{(n)} = e_i^n / [n]_i!$ and $f_i^{(n)} = f_i^n / [n]_i!$.

We shall define the modified quantum algebra $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. We define the left $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda$ by the relation: $q^h a_\lambda = q^{\langle h, \lambda \rangle} a_\lambda$. Then $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda$ has an algebra structure by

- (i) $a_\lambda P = P a_{\lambda-\xi}$ for $\xi \in Q$ and $P \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\xi$
 $(U_q(\mathfrak{g})_\xi := \{P \in U_q(\mathfrak{g}); q^h P q^{-h} = q^{\langle h, \xi \rangle} P \text{ for any } h \in P^*\})$
- (ii) $a_\lambda a_\mu = \delta_{\lambda, \mu} a_\lambda$

and we call this algebra *modified quantum algebra*.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$ be the category whose object is $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module satisfying that it has a weight space decomposition and all e_i, f_i ($i \in I$) are locally nilpotent. It is well-known that the category $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$ is a semisimple category and all simple objects are parametrized by dominant integral weights P_+ . Let M be a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with the weight space decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} M_\lambda$. Then a_λ is a projection $a_\lambda : M \rightarrow M_\lambda$. Therefore, we think that $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is an algebra more appropriate than $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ for the research of the modules of the category $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$.

Now, we shall review the theory of crystal base. We follow the notations and terminologies to [10], [11].

Let M be a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$. For any $u \in M_\lambda$ ($\lambda \in P$), we have the unique expression:

$$u = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_i^{(n)} u_n,$$

where $u_n \in \text{Ker } e_i \cap M_{\lambda + n\alpha_i}$. By using this, we define the Kashiwara operator $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i \in \text{End}(M)$,

$$\tilde{e}_i u := \sum_{n \geq 1} f_i^{(n-1)} u_n, \quad \tilde{f}_i u := \sum_{n \geq 0} f_i^{(n+1)} u_n.$$

here note that we can define the Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i \in \text{End}(U_q^\pm(\mathfrak{g}))$ by the similar manner [4]. Let $A \subset \mathbb{Q}(q)$ be the subring of rational functions which are regular at $q = 0$. Let M be the $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}$.

Definition 2.1. A pair (L, B) is a crystal base of M (resp. $U_q^\pm(\mathfrak{g})$), if it satisfies following conditions:

- (i) L is free A -submodule of M (resp. $U_q^\pm(\mathfrak{g})$) and $M \cong \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_A L$ (resp. $U_q^\pm(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_A L$).
- (ii) B is a basis of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space L/qL .
- (iii) $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} L_\lambda$, $B = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in P} B_\lambda$ where $L_\lambda := L \cap M_\lambda$, $B_\lambda := B \cap L_\lambda/qL$ (resp. there is no corresponding statement).
- (iv) $\tilde{e}_i L \subset L$ and $\tilde{f}_i L \subset L$.
- (v) $\tilde{e}_i B \subset B \sqcup \{0\}$ and $\tilde{f}_i B \subset B \sqcup \{0\}$ (resp. $\tilde{f}_i B \subset B$) ($\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$ acts on L/qL by (iv)).
- (vi) For $u, v \in B$, $\tilde{f}_i u = v$ if and only if $\tilde{e}_i v = u$.

The unit of subalgebra $U_q^\mp(\mathfrak{g})$ is denoted by $u_{\pm\infty}$. We set

$$\begin{aligned} L(\pm\infty) &:= \sum_{i_j \in I, l \geq 0} A \tilde{f}_{i_l} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_1} u_{\pm\infty}, \\ B(\pm\infty) &:= \{ \tilde{f}_{i_l} \cdots \tilde{f}_{i_1} u_{\pm\infty} \bmod qL(\infty) \mid i_j \in I, l \geq 0 \} \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

Theorem 2.2 ([4]). A pair $(L(\pm\infty), B(\pm\infty))$ is a crystal base of $U_q^\mp(\mathfrak{g})$.

Now we introduce the notion *crystal*, which is obtained by abstracting the combinatorial properties of crystal bases.

Definition 2.3. A crystal B is a set endowed with the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} wt : B &\longrightarrow P, \\ \varepsilon_i : B &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}, \quad \varphi_i : B \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\} \quad \text{for } i \in I, \\ \tilde{e}_i : B \sqcup \{0\} &\longrightarrow B \sqcup \{0\}, \quad \tilde{f}_i : B \sqcup \{0\} \longrightarrow B \sqcup \{0\} \quad \text{for } i \in I, \\ \tilde{e}_i(0) = \tilde{f}_i(0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and those maps satisfy the following axioms: for all $b, b_1, b_2 \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(b) &= \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, wt(b) \rangle, \\ wt(\tilde{e}_i b) &= wt(b) + \alpha_i \text{ if } \tilde{e}_i b \in B, \\ wt(\tilde{f}_i b) &= wt(b) - \alpha_i \text{ if } \tilde{f}_i b \in B, \\ \tilde{e}_i b_2 = b_1 &\iff \tilde{f}_i b_1 = b_2 \text{ } (b_1, b_2 \in B), \\ \varepsilon_i(b) = -\infty &\implies \tilde{e}_i b = \tilde{f}_i b = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, if (L, B) is a crystal base, then B is a crystal.

Definition 2.4. (i) Let B_1 and B_2 be crystals. A *strict morphism* of crystals $\psi : B_1 \longrightarrow B_2$ is a map $\psi : B_1 \sqcup \{0\} \longrightarrow B_2 \sqcup \{0\}$ satisfying the following:

(1) $\psi(0) = 0$.

(2) If $b \in B_1$ and $\psi(b) \in B_2$, then

$$wt(\psi(b)) = wt(b), \quad \varepsilon_i(\psi(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b), \quad \varphi_i(\psi(b)) = \varphi_i(b).$$

and the map ψ commutes with all $\tilde{e}_i$ and $\tilde{f}_i$.

(ii) An injective strict morphism is called an *embedding of crystals*. We call B_1 a subcrystal of B_2 , if B_1 is a subset of B_2 and becomes a crystal itself by restricting the data on it from B_2 .

The following examples of crystals will play an important role in the subsequent sections.

Example 2.5. Let $T_\lambda := \{t_\lambda\}$ ($\lambda \in P$) be the crystal consisting of one element t_λ defined by $wt(t_\lambda) = \lambda$, $\varepsilon_i(t_\lambda) = \varphi_i(t_\lambda) = -\infty$, $\tilde{e}_i(t_\lambda) = \tilde{f}_i(t_\lambda) = 0$.

Example 2.6. For $i \in I$, the crystal $B_i := \{(x)_i : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} wt((x)_i) &= x\alpha_i, & \varepsilon_i((x)_i) &= -x, & \varphi_i((x)_i) &= x, \\ \varepsilon_j((x)_i) &= -\infty, & \varphi_j((x)_i) &= -\infty \quad \text{for } j \neq i, \\ \tilde{e}_j(x)_i &= \delta_{i,j}(x+1)_i, & \tilde{f}_j(x)_i &= \delta_{i,j}(x-1)_i. \end{aligned}$$

Note that as a set B_i is identified with the set of integers $\mathbb{Z}$.

Here we see the properties of the crystal base for the modified quantum algebra $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$. Lusztig [8] showed that $\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has a crystal base and Kashiwara [7] described the existence of the following isomorphism of crystals:

Theorem 2.7 ([7]).

$$\begin{aligned} B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) &\cong B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes B(-\infty), \\ B(\tilde{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})) &\cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P} B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes B(-\infty). \end{aligned}$$

3 Polyhedral realization of $B(\pm\infty)$ and $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$

In this section, we review the polyhedral realization of the crystal $B(\pm\infty)$ (see [11]) and $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$ (see [1]). At first, we shall recall the polyhedral realization of $B(\pm\infty)$. We consider the following additive groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}^{+\infty} &:= \{(\cdots, x_k, \cdots, x_2, x_1) \mid x_k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\}, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{-\infty} &:= \{(x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-k}, \cdots) \mid x_{-k} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x_{-k} = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{+\infty} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{+\infty}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{-\infty} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{-\infty}$) the semigroup of non-negative (resp. nonpositive) sequences. Take an infinite sequence of indices $\iota^+ = (\cdots, i_k, \cdots, i_2, i_1)$ (resp. $\iota^- = (i_{-1}, i_{-2}, \cdots, i_{-k}, \cdots)$) from I such that

$$i_k \neq i_{k+1} \text{ for any } k, \text{ and } \#\{k > 0 \text{ (resp. } k < 0) : i_k = i\} = \infty \text{ for any } i \in I. \quad (3.1)$$

We can have a crystal structure on $\mathbb{Z}^{+\infty}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}^{-\infty}$) associate to ι^+ (resp. ι^-) (see [11]) and denote it by $\mathbb{Z}_{\iota^+}^{+\infty}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_{\iota^-}^{-\infty}$). Let B_i be the crystal given in Example 2.6. We obtain the following embeddings ([6]):

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_i^+ : B(\infty) &\hookrightarrow B(\infty) \otimes B_i \quad (u_\infty \mapsto u_\infty \otimes (0)_i), \\ \Psi_i^- : B(-\infty) &\hookrightarrow B_i \otimes B(-\infty) \quad (u_{-\infty} \mapsto (0)_i \otimes u_{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Iterating Ψ_i^+ (resp. Ψ_i^-) according to ι^+ (resp. ι^-), we get the *Kashiwara embedding* ([6]):

$$\Psi_{\iota^+} : B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{+\infty} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\iota^+}^{+\infty} \quad (u_\infty \mapsto (\cdots, 0, \cdots, 0, 0, 0)), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\Psi_{\iota^-} : B(-\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}^{-\infty} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\iota^-}^{-\infty} \quad (u_{-\infty} \mapsto (0, 0, 0, \cdots, 0, \cdots)). \quad (3.3)$$

We consider the following infinite dimensional vector spaces and their dual spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}^{+\infty} &:= \{\vec{x} = (\cdots, x_k, \cdots, x_2, x_1) : x_k \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } x_k = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\}, \\ \mathbb{Q}^{-\infty} &:= \{\vec{x} = (x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-k}, \cdots) : x_{-k} \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } x_{-k} = 0 \text{ for } k \gg 0\}, \\ (\mathbb{Q}^{\pm\infty})^* &:= \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}^{\pm\infty}, \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

We will write a linear form $\varphi \in (\mathbb{Q}^{+\infty})^*$ as $\varphi(\vec{x}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \varphi_k x_k$ ($\varphi_j \in \mathbb{Q}$). Similarly, we write $\varphi \in (\mathbb{Q}^{-\infty})^*$ as $\varphi(\vec{x}) = \sum_{k \leq -1} \varphi_k x_k$ ($\varphi_j \in \mathbb{Q}$).

For the sequence $\iota^+ = (i_k)_{k \geq 1}$ (resp. $\iota^- = (i_k)_{k \leq -1}$) and $k \geq 1$ (resp. $k \leq -1$), we set

$$k^{(+)} := \min\{l : l > k > 0 \text{ (resp. } 0 > l > k) \text{ and } i_k = i_l\},$$

if it exists, and

$$k^{(-)} := \max\{l : 0 < l < k \text{ (resp. } l < k < 0) \text{ and } i_k = i_l\},$$

if it exists, otherwise $k^{(+)} = k^{(-)} = 0$.

We define a linear form β_k ($k \geq 0$) on $\mathbb{Q}^{+\infty}$ by

$$\beta_k(\vec{x}) := \begin{cases} x_k + \sum_{k < j < k^{(+)} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_{k^{(+)}} & (k \geq 1), \\ 0 & (k = 0). \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

We also define a linear form β_k ($k \leq 0$) on $\mathbb{Q}^{-\infty}$ by

$$\beta_k(\vec{y}) := \begin{cases} y_{k^{(-)}} + \sum_{k^{(-)} < j < k \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle y_j + y_k & (k \leq -1), \\ 0 & (k = 0). \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

By using these linear forms, let us define a piecewise-linear operator $S_k = S_{k,\iota}$ on $(\mathbb{Q}^{\pm\infty})^*$ as follows:

$$S_k(\varphi) := \begin{cases} \varphi - \varphi_k \beta_k & \text{if } \varphi_k > 0, \\ \varphi - \varphi_k \beta_{k^{(-)}} & \text{if } \varphi_k \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

for $\varphi(\vec{x}) = \sum \varphi_k x_k \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\pm\infty})^*$. Here we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\iota^\pm} &:= \{S_{\pm j_l} \cdots S_{\pm j_2} S_{\pm j_1}(\pm x_{\pm j_0}) \mid l \geq 0, j_0, j_1, \dots, j_l \geq 1\}, \\ \Sigma_{\iota^\pm} &:= \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\pm\infty} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\pm\infty} \mid \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_{\iota^\pm}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We impose on ι^+ and ι^- the following assumptions (P),(N):

$$(P) \text{ for } \iota^+, \text{ if } k^{(-)} = 0 \text{ then } \varphi_k \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi(\vec{x}) = \sum_k \varphi_k x_k \in \Xi_{\iota^+}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$(N) \text{ for } \iota^-, \text{ if } k^{(+)} = 0 \text{ then } \varphi_k \leq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi(\vec{x}) = \sum_k \varphi_k x_k \in \Xi_{\iota^-}. \quad (3.8)$$

Theorem 3.1 ([11]). *Let $\iota^\pm$ be the indices of sequences which are satisfied (3.1) and the assumptions (P), (N). Suppose $\Psi_{\iota^+} : B(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\iota^+}^\infty$ and $\Psi_{\iota^-} : B(-\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\iota^-}^{-\infty}$ are the Kashiwara embeddings. Then, we have $\text{Im}(\Psi_{\iota^+})(\cong B(\infty)) = \Sigma_{\iota^+}$, $\text{Im}(\Psi_{\iota^-})(\cong B(-\infty)) = \Sigma_{\iota^-}$.*

We call Σ_{ι^+} (resp. Σ_{ι^-}) the polyhedral realization of $B(\infty)$ (resp. $B(-\infty)$).

Here, we will recall the polyhedral realization of $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$. As a set, we denote $\mathbb{Z}^{+\infty} \otimes T_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{-\infty}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}^{+\infty} \otimes T_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}^{-\infty}$) by $\mathbb{Z}^\infty[\lambda]$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}^\infty[\lambda]$). We shall construct the crystal structure of $\mathbb{Z}^\infty[\lambda]$. We fix the indices sequence $\iota := (\iota^+, t_\lambda, \iota^-) = (\dots, i_2, i_1, t_\lambda, i_{-1}, i_{-2}, \dots)$ and a weight $\lambda \in P$. Since $\mathbb{Z}^\infty[\lambda]$ is the subset of $\mathbb{Q}^\infty[\lambda]$, we can denote $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty[\lambda]$ as $\vec{x} = (\dots, x_2, x_1, t_\lambda, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \dots)$.

For $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Q}^\infty[\lambda]$, we define a linear function $\sigma_k(\vec{x})$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) by:

$$\sigma_k(\vec{x}) := \begin{cases} x_k + \sum_{j > k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j & (k \geq 1), \\ -\langle h_{i_k}, \lambda \rangle + x_k + \sum_{j > k} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j & (k \leq -1), \\ -\infty & (k = 0). \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

Since $x_j = 0$ for $j \gg 0$, σ_k is well-defined. Let $\sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}) := \max_{k:i_k=i} \sigma_k(\vec{x})$ and

$$M^{(i)} = M^{(i)}(\vec{x}) := \{k : i_k = i, \sigma_k(\vec{x}) = \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x})\}. \quad (3.10)$$

Note that $\sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}) \geq 0$, and that $M^{(i)} = M^{(i)}(\vec{x})$ is finite set if and only if $\sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}) > 0$. Now, we define the map $\tilde{e}_i : \mathbb{Z}^\infty[\lambda] \sqcup \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\infty[\lambda] \sqcup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_i : \mathbb{Z}^\infty[\lambda] \sqcup \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\infty[\lambda] \sqcup \{0\}$, by $\tilde{e}_i(0) = \tilde{f}_i(0) = 0$ and

$$(\tilde{f}_i(\vec{x}))_k = x_k + \delta_{k, \min M^{(i)}} \text{ if } M^{(i)} \text{ exists; otherwise } \tilde{f}_i(\vec{x}) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$(\tilde{e}_i(\vec{x}))_k = x_k - \delta_{k, \max M^{(i)}} \text{ if } M^{(i)} \text{ exists; otherwise } \tilde{e}_i(\vec{x}) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is Kronecker's delta. We also define the weight function and the function ε_i and φ_i on $\mathbb{Z}^\infty[\lambda]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} wt(\vec{x}) &:= \lambda - \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} x_j \alpha_{i_j}, \quad \varepsilon_i(\vec{x}) := \sigma^{(i)}(\vec{x}), \\ \varphi_i(\vec{x}) &:= \langle h_i, wt(\vec{x}) \rangle + \varepsilon_i(\vec{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

We denote this crystal by $\mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda]$. We can identify $\mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda]$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{\iota^+}^\infty \otimes T_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\iota^-}^\infty$.

Since there exist the embeddings of crystals: $B(\pm\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\iota^\pm}^\infty$, we obtain

Theorem 3.2 ([1]).

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\iota^{(\lambda)} : B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes B(-\infty) &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\iota^+}^\infty \otimes T_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\iota^-}^\infty (= \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda]) \\ u_\infty \otimes t_\lambda \otimes u_{-\infty} &\mapsto (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, 0, 0, \cdots) \end{aligned}$$

is the unique strict embedding which is associated with $\iota := (\cdots, i_2, i_1, t_\lambda, i_{-1}, i_{-2}, \cdots)$.

Now, we will give the polyhedral realization of $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$. We fix an indices sequence ι . We define a linear function $\bar{\beta}_k(\vec{x})$ as follows:

$$\bar{\beta}_k(\vec{x}) = \sigma_k(\vec{x}) - \sigma_{k^{(+)}}(\vec{x}) \quad (3.14)$$

where σ_k is defined by (3.9). Since $\langle h_i, \alpha_i \rangle = 2$ for any $i \in I$, we have

$$\bar{\beta}_k(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} x_k + \sum_{k < j < k^{(+)}} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_{k^{(+)}} & (k \geq 1 \text{ or } k^{(+)} \leq -1), \\ -\langle h_{i_k}, \lambda \rangle + x_k + \sum_{k < j < k^{(+)}} \langle h_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_j} \rangle x_j + x_{k^{(+)}} & (k \leq -1 \text{ and } k^{(+)} > 0). \end{cases}$$

Using this notation, we define an operator $\bar{S}_k = \bar{S}_{k,\iota}$ for a linear function $\varphi(\vec{x}) = c + \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_k x_k$ ($c, \varphi_k \in \mathbb{Q}$) as follows:

$$\bar{S}_k(\varphi) := \begin{cases} \varphi - \varphi_k \bar{\beta}_k & \text{if } \varphi_k > 0, \\ \varphi - \varphi_k \bar{\beta}_{k^{(-)}} & \text{if } \varphi_k \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

An easy check shows $(\bar{S}_k)^2 = \bar{S}_k$. For a sequence ι and an integral weight λ , we denote by $\Xi_\iota[\lambda]$ the subset of linear forms that are obtained from the coordinate forms x_j , x_{-j} ($j \geq 1$) by applying transformations $\bar{S}_k$. In other words, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_\iota[\lambda] &:= \{\bar{S}_{j_l} \cdots \bar{S}_{j_1}(x_{j_0}) : l \geq 0, j_0, \cdots, j_l \geq 1\} \\ &\cup \{\bar{S}_{-j_k} \cdots \bar{S}_{-j_1}(-x_{-j_0}) : k \geq 0, j_0, \cdots, j_k \geq 1\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

$$\Sigma_\iota[\lambda] := \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda] (\subset \mathbb{Q}^\infty[\lambda]) : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota[\lambda]\}. \quad (3.17)$$

Theorem 3.3 ([1]). *Suppose that $\iota^\pm$ is satisfied (3.1) and the assumptions (P), (N). Let $\Psi_t^{(\lambda)} : B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes B(-\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_t^\infty[\lambda]$ be the embedding of Theorem 3.2. Then, $\text{Im}(\Psi_t^{(\lambda)})(\cong B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes B(-\infty)) = \Sigma_t[\lambda]$.*

We call $\Sigma_t[\lambda]$ the polyhedral realization of $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda)$.

The following lemma will be used in Section 5.

Lemma 3.4 ([1]). *Let Ξ_t be a set of linear functions on $\mathbb{Q}^\infty[\lambda]$. Suppose Ξ_t is closed by actions of $\tilde{S}_k$, then the set*

$$\Sigma_t := \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_t^\infty[\lambda] : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_t\}$$

holds a crystal structure.

4 Highest and lowest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$ for affine and hyperbolic types of rank 2

In this section, we consider the case that $\mathfrak{g}$ is of affine or hyperbolic type of rank 2. We define that $B_0(\lambda)$ is a connected component of $B(U_q(\mathfrak{g})a_\lambda) (\cong B(\infty) \otimes T_\lambda \otimes B(-\infty))$ containing $u_\infty \otimes t_\lambda \otimes u_{-\infty}$. We fix:

- Cartan Matrix : $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -c_1 \\ -c_2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ($c_1 c_2 \geq 4$).
- $\lambda = \lambda_1 \Lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \Lambda_2 \in P$ ($\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$, Λ_i : fundamental weight).
- $\iota = (\cdots, 1, 2, 1, t_\lambda, 2, 1, 2, \cdots)$ ($I = \{1, 2\}$).

Note that if λ is a dominant (resp. antidominant) weight, we know the highest (resp. lowest) weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$ is $(\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, 0, 0, \cdots)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_t^\infty[\lambda]$. So, we consider the case that a weight λ is not a dominant or antidominant weight. Here, we will describe the explicit form of the highest (or lowest) weight vectors. For the purpose, we need some preparations. We define *Chebyshev polynomials* and give some facts.

Definition 4.1. *Chebyshev polynomials* ($:= P_k(X)$) is given by:

$$P_k(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) = \frac{\alpha^{k+1} - \alpha^{-k-1}}{\alpha - \alpha^{-1}}.$$

Fact 4.2. (i) The generating function for $P_k(X)$ is given by:

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} P_k(X) z^k = (1 - Xz + z^2)^{-1}.$$

(ii) $P_k(X)$ satisfies the following identities:

$$(X + 2)P_k(X)^2 - (P_{k+1}(X) + P_k(X))(P_k(X) + P_{k-1}(X)) = 1, \quad (4.1)$$

$$(P_k(X) + P_{k-1}(X))^2 - (X + 2)P_k(X)P_{k-1}(X) = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

(iii) $P_k(X)$ satisfies the following recursion:

$$P_k(X) = XP_{k-1}(X) - P_{k-2}(X).$$

Definition 4.3. For $X = c_1c_2 - 2$, we define the integers a_l, a'_l ($l \geq 0$) as follows (see [11]):

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= a'_0 = 0, \quad a_1 = a'_1 = 1, \\ a_{2k} &= c_1P_{k-1}(X), \quad a'_{2k} = c_2P_{k-1}(X) \quad (k \geq 1), \\ a_{2k+1} &= a'_{2k+1} = P_k(X) + P_{k-1}(X) \quad (k \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.4. Several first terms of a_l are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 1, \quad a_2 = c_1, \quad a_3 = c_1c_2 - 1, \quad a_4 = c_1(c_1c_2 - 2), \quad a_5 = (c_1c_2 - 1)(c_1c_2 - 2) - 1, \\ a'_1 &= 1, \quad a'_2 = c_2, \quad a'_3 = c_1c_2 - 1, \quad a'_4 = c_2(c_1c_2 - 2), \quad a'_5 = (c_1c_2 - 1)(c_1c_2 - 2) - 1, \\ a_6 &= c_1(c_1c_2 - 1)(c_1c_2 - 3), \quad a_7 = c_1c_2(c_1c_2 - 2)(c_1c_2 - 3), \\ a'_6 &= c_2(c_1c_2 - 1)(c_1c_2 - 3), \quad a'_7 = c_1c_2(c_1c_2 - 2)(c_1c_2 - 3). \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of affine or hyperbolic type, a_l, a'_l are positive.

Fact 4.5. a_l satisfies following recursions (see [11]):

- (i) $a_{2k+2} = c_1a_{2k+1} - a_{2k}$.
- (ii) $a_{2k+1} = c_2a_{2k} - a_{2k-1}$.
- (iii) $a'_{2k+2} = c_2a_{2k+1} - a'_{2k}$.
- (iv) $a_{2k+1} = c_1a'_{2k} - a_{2k-1}$.

Proof. (i) We apply Definition 4.3 to Fact 4.2(iii). (ii) is an easy consequence of Fact 4.2(i). (iii), (iv) is given by Definition 4.3 and (i),(ii). $\square$

Lemma 4.6. For any $l \geq 1$,

$$\frac{a'_{l+1}}{a'_l} > \frac{a_{l+2}}{a_{l+1}}, \quad \frac{a_{l+1}}{a_l} > \frac{a'_{l+2}}{a'_{l+1}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. We have

$$P_k(X) = \frac{a_{2k+2}}{c_1} = \frac{a'_{2k+2}}{c_2}, \quad X + 2 = c_1c_2$$

by the definition. Applying Definition 4.3 to (4.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_1c_2 \left(\frac{a_{2k+2}}{c_1} \right) \left(\frac{a'_{2k+2}}{c_2} \right) - (a_{2k+3}a'_{2k+1}) &= 1, \\ c_1c_2 \left(\frac{a_{2k+2}}{c_1} \right) \left(\frac{a'_{2k+2}}{c_2} \right) - (a'_{2k+3}a_{2k+1}) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$a_{2k+2}a'_{2k+2} - a_{2k+3}a'_{2k+1} = 1, \quad (4.4)$$

$$a_{2k+2}a'_{2k+2} - a'_{2k+3}a_{2k+1} = 1. \quad (4.5)$$

Similarly, applying Definition 4.3 to (4.2), we get

$$(a_{2k+1}a'_{2k+1}) - c_1c_2 \left(\frac{a_{2k+2}}{c_1} \right) \left(\frac{a'_{2k}}{c_2} \right) = 1,$$

$$(a_{2k+1}a'_{2k+1}) - c_1c_2 \left(\frac{a'_{2k+2}}{c_1} \right) \left(\frac{a_{2k}}{c_2} \right) = 1.$$

Then,

$$a_{2k+1}a'_{2k+1} - a_{2k+2}a'_{2k} = 1, \quad (4.6)$$

$$a_{2k+1}a'_{2k+1} - a'_{2k+2}a_{2k} = 1. \quad (4.7)$$

Using (4.4) and (4.6), we get for $l \geq 1$

$$a_{l+1}a'_{l+1} - a_{l+2}a'_l = 1 > 0.$$

Now, since we consider the case that $\mathbf{g}$ is of the affine or hyperbolic type, $a_l, a'_l > 0$ and we have

$$\frac{a'_{l+1}}{a'_l} > \frac{a_{l+2}}{a_{l+1}}.$$

Similarly, using (4.5) and (4.7) we have

$$\frac{a_{l+1}}{a_l} > \frac{a'_{l+2}}{a'_{l+1}}.$$

□

Corollary 4.7. *For $k \rightarrow \infty$, following sequences converge to real numbers*

$$\alpha, \beta \left(\alpha := \frac{c_1c_2 + \sqrt{c_1^2c_2^2 - 4c_1c_2}}{2c_2}, \beta := \frac{c_1c_2 - \sqrt{c_1^2c_2^2 - 4c_1c_2}}{2c_2} \right).$$

$$(i) \quad \frac{a_2}{a_1} > \frac{a'_3}{a'_2} > \frac{a_4}{a_3} > \frac{a'_5}{a'_4} > \dots > \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} > \frac{a'_{2k+1}}{a'_{2k}} > \dots \longrightarrow \alpha.$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{a'_1}{a'_2} < \frac{a_2}{a_3} < \frac{a'_3}{a'_4} < \frac{a_4}{a_5} < \dots < \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}} < \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k+1}} < \dots \longrightarrow \beta.$$

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose $v_{-l} := (\dots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \dots, x_{-l}, 0, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{Z}_l[\lambda]$ for any $l \geq 0$ ($v_0 := (\dots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, 0, 0, \dots)$). Then,*

(i) *For $l \neq 0$ and any $k \geq 1$,*

$$\sigma_{-l}(v_{-l}) \geq \sigma_{-l-2}(v_{-l}) = \sigma_{-l-2k}(v_{-1}).$$

(ii) For any $s, t \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-l-1}(v_{-l}) &= \sigma_{-l-3}(v_{-l}) = \cdots = \sigma_{-l-2s+1}(v_{-l}), \\ \sigma_t(v_{-l}) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) For any $k \geq 1$ ($2k - 1 < l$), if $\sigma_{-l+2k-1}(v_{-l}) = 0$ and $\sigma_{-l-1}(v_{-l}) = N > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})^N v_{-l} &= (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-l}, -N, 0, \cdots), \\ (\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})^{N+1} v_{-l} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) By the definition of σ , we have

$$\sigma_{-l-2}(v_{-l}) = x_{-l} + \sigma_{-l}(v_{-l}) \leq \sigma_{-l}(v_{-l}).$$

(ii) It is obvious by the definition of σ .

(iii) For any n ($1 \leq n \leq N$), we shall show that

$$(\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})^n v_{-l} = (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-l}, -n, 0, \cdots)$$

by the induction on n .

Case I) $n = 1$.

By (ii), we have for any $s, t \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-l-1}(v_{-l}) &= \sigma_{-l-3}(v_{-l}) = \cdots = \sigma_{-l-2s+1}(v_{-l}) = N, \\ \sigma_t(v_{-l}) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of (iii), for any $k \geq 1$ ($2k - 1 < l$) we have

$$\sigma_{-l+1}(v_{-l}) = \sigma_{-l+3}(v_{-l}) = \cdots = \sigma_{-l+2k-1}(v_{-l}) = 0.$$

This shows that

$$(\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})v_{-l} = (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-l}, -1, 0, \cdots).$$

Case II) $n > 1$.

We assume that

$$(\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})^{n-1} v_{-l} = (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-l}, -n+1, 0, \cdots) =: v'_{-l}.$$

Since $x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-l}$ does not change, we have

$$\sigma_{-l-1}(v'_{-l}) = -n+1 + \sigma_{-l-1}(v_{-l}) = -n+1 + N > 0$$

and by (i), we have for any $k \geq 2$

$$\sigma_{-l-1}(v'_{-l}) \geq \sigma_{-l-2k+1}(v'_{-l}).$$

For any $t \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_t(v_{-l}) = 0$$

and we have for any $k \geq 1$ ($2k - 1 < l$),

$$\sigma_{-l+1}(v'_{-l}) = \sigma_{-l+3}(v'_{-l}) = \cdots = \sigma_{-l+2k-1}(v'_{-l}) = 0$$

by the assumption of (iii). Therefore, we get

$$(\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})v'_{-l} = (\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})^n v_{-l} = (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-l}, -n, 0, \cdots).$$

In particular, we consider the case $n=N$,

$$(\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})^N v_{-l} = (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-l}, -N, 0, \cdots) =: v''_{-l}.$$

In this case for any $k \geq 2$,

$$0 = \sigma_{-l-1}(v''_{-l}) = \sigma_{-l-2k+1}(v''_{-l}) + N > \sigma_{-l-2k+1}(v''_{-l}).$$

We conclude

$$(\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})v''_{-l} = (\tilde{e}_{i_{-l-1}})^{N+1} v_{-l} = 0.$$

□

Now, we define for any $j, k \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{-2k+1} &:= a'_{2k-2}\lambda_1 + a'_{2k-1}\lambda_2, \\ h_{-2k} &:= a_{2k-1}\lambda_1 + a_{2k}\lambda_2, \\ H_{-j} &:= (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, h_{-1}, h_{-2}, \cdots, h_{-j+1}, h_{-j}, 0, 0, \cdots). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.9. If the condition of a weight λ is $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$ (resp. $\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a'_{2k+1}}{a'_{2k}}$), then $h_{-1}, h_{-2}, \cdots, h_{-2k+1} < 0$ and $h_{-2k}, h_{-2k-1}, \cdots > 0$ (resp. $h_{-1}, h_{-2}, \cdots, h_{-2k} < 0$ and $h_{-2k-1}, h_{-2k-2}, \cdots > 0$) by Corollary 4.7(i).

Theorem 4.10 (Highest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$). *If the condition of a weight λ is $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$ (resp. $\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a'_{2k+1}}{a'_{2k}}$), then H_{-2k+1} (resp. H_{-2k}) is the highest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$. (If $a'_{2k-2} = 0$, we define that the condition of a weight λ is $\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_2}{a_1}$.)*

We shall prove the former case $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$ since the latter case is proved by the same argument of the former case. We prepare some lemmas.

Lemma 4.11. *We give some properties of H_{-2k+1}, H_{-2k} :*

(i) *For any j ($1 \leq j \leq 2k - 1$), $\sigma_{-j}(H_{-2k+1}) = 0$.*

(ii) For any j ($1 \leq j \leq 2k$), $\sigma_{-j}(H_{-2k}) = 0$.

(iii) $\sigma_{-2k}(H_{-2k+1}) = -a_{2k-1}\lambda_1 - a_{2k}\lambda_2 (= -h_{-2k})$.

(iv) $\sigma_{-2k-1}(H_{-2k}) = -a'_{2k}\lambda_1 - a'_{2k+1}\lambda_2 (= -h_{-2k-1})$.

Proof. (i) First, we consider the case that j is odd. We will show $\sigma_{-j}(H_{-2k+1}) = 0$ by the induction on j .

Case I) $j = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-1}(H_{-2k+1}) &= h_{-1} - \lambda_2 \\ &= \lambda_2 - \lambda_2 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Case II) $j > 1$.

We assume $\sigma_{-j+2}(H_{-2k+1}) = 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-j}(H_{-2k+1}) &= -\langle h_2, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{l \geq -j} \langle h_2, \alpha_{i_l} \rangle x_l \\ &= -\langle h_2, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{l \geq -j+2} \langle h_2, \alpha_{i_l} \rangle x_l + h_{-j} - c_2 h_{-j+1} + h_{-j+2} \\ &= \sigma_{-j+2}(H_{-2k+1}) + h_{-j} + (-c_2 a_{j-2} + a'_{j-3})\lambda_1 + (-c_2 a_{j-1} + a'_{j-2})\lambda_2 \\ &= h_{-j} - a'_{j-1}\lambda_1 - a'_j\lambda_2 \quad (\text{by Fact 4.5(ii),(iii)}) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the case that j is even. We will show by the induction on j .

Case I) $j = 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-2}(H_{-2k+1}) &= h_{-2} - c_1 h_{-1} - \lambda_1 \\ &= h_{-2} - \lambda_1 - c_1 \lambda_2 \\ &= h_{-2} - a_1 \lambda_1 - a_2 \lambda_2 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Case II) $j > 2$.

We assume $\sigma_{-j+2}(H_{-2k+1}) = 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-j}(H_{-2k+1}) &= -\langle h_1, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{l \geq -j} \langle h_1, \alpha_{i_l} \rangle x_l \\ &= -\langle h_1, \lambda \rangle + \sum_{l \geq -j+2} \langle h_1, \alpha_{i_l} \rangle x_l + h_{-j} - c_1 h_{-j+1} + h_{-j+2} \\ &= \sigma_{-j+2}(H_{-2k+1}) + h_{-j} + (-c_1 a'_{j-2} + a_{j-3})\lambda_1 + (-c_1 a'_{j-1} + a_{j-2})\lambda_2 \\ &= h_{-j} - a_{j-1}\lambda_1 - a_j\lambda_2 \quad (\text{by Fact 4.5(i),(iv)}) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

We can show (ii) by the similar calculation as (i). (iii), (iv) is obvious by (i), (ii). $\square$

Lemma 4.12. *If the condition of a weight λ is $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$ (resp. $\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a'_{2k+1}}{a'_{2k}}$), then for any j ($1 \leq j \leq k$), H_{-2j+2}, H_{-2j+1} (resp. H_{-2j+1}, H_{-2j}) are generated from $(\dots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, 0, 0, \dots)$.*

Proof. We consider the former case $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$ since the latter case is shown by the similar argument of the former case. By Remark 4.9, note that $h_{-1}, h_{-2}, \dots, h_{-2k+1} < 0$ and $h_{-2k} > 0$. We will show the lemma by the induction on j .

Case I) $j = 1$.

Let $v_0 := (\dots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, 0, 0, \dots)$. First, we show $H_{-1} = \tilde{e}_2^{-h_{-1}} v_0$. For any $s \geq 0$, $t \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-1-2s}(v_0) &= -\lambda_2 = -h_{-1}, \\ \sigma_{2t}(v_0) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.8, we get

$$\tilde{e}_2^{-h_{-1}} v_0 = (\dots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, h_{-1}, 0, \dots) = H_{-1}.$$

Note that $\tilde{e}_2^{-h_{-1}+1} v_0 = 0$. Next, we show $H_{-2} = \tilde{e}_1^{-h_{-2}} H_{-1}$. We will calculate the action of $\tilde{e}_1$ to H_{-1} . For any $s \geq 0$, $t \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-2-2s}(H_{-1}) &= -c_1 \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = -h_{-2}, \\ \sigma_{2t-1}(H_{-1}) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.8, we get

$$\tilde{e}_1^{-h_{-2}} H_{-1} = (\dots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, h_{-1}, h_{-2}, \dots) = H_{-2}.$$

Case II) $j > 1$.

We assume that H_{-2j+3} is generated from $(\dots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, 0, 0, \dots)$ by acting $\tilde{e}_i$'s. First, we will calculate the action of $\tilde{e}_2$ to H_{-2j+3} . By Lemma 4.11(i), we have

$$0 = \sigma_{-2j+3}(H_{-2j+3}) = \sigma_{-2j+5}(H_{-2j+3}) = \dots = \sigma_{-1}(H_{-2j+3}).$$

By Lemma 4.8(i), (ii), we have for any $s, t \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-2j+3}(H_{-2j+3}) &> \sigma_{-2j+3-2s}(H_{-2j+3}), \\ \sigma_{2t}(H_{-2j+3}) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\tilde{e}_2(H_{-2j+3}) = 0.$$

Next, we will calculate the action of $\tilde{e}_1$ to H_{-2j+3} . By Lemma 4.11(iii), we have

$$\sigma_{-2j+2}(H_{-2j+3}) = -a_{2j-3}\lambda_1 - a_{2j-2}\lambda_2 = -h_{-2j+2} =: M > 0$$

and

$$0 = \sigma_{-2j+4}(H_{-2j+3}) = \sigma_{-2j+6}(H_{-2j+3}) = \cdots = \sigma_{-2}(H_{-2j+3}).$$

By Lemma 4.8(i), (ii), we have for any $s, t \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_{-2j+2}(H_{-2j+3}) = \sigma_{-2j+2-2s}(H_{-2j+3}),$$

$$\sigma_{2t-1}(H_{-2j+3}) = 0.$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 4.8(iii), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_1^M(H_{-2j+3}) &= H_{-2j+2}, \\ \tilde{e}_1^{M+1}(H_{-2j+3}) &= \tilde{e}_1(H_{-2j+2}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we will calculate the action of $\tilde{e}_2$ to H_{-2j+2} . By Lemma 4.11(i), we have

$$\sigma_{-2j+1}(H_{-2j+2}) = -a'_{2j-2}\lambda_1 - a'_{2j-1}\lambda_2 = -h_{-2j+1} =: N > 0$$

and

$$0 = \sigma_{-2j+3}(H_{-2j+2}) = \sigma_{-2j+4}(H_{-2j+2}) = \cdots = \sigma_{-1}(H_{-2j+2}).$$

By Lemma 4.8(i), (ii), we have for any $s, t \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_{-2j+1}(H_{-2j+2}) = \sigma_{-2j+1-2s}(H_{-2j+2}),$$

$$\sigma_{2t}(H_{-2j+2}) = 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\tilde{e}_2^N(H_{-2j+2}) = H_{-2j+1}.$$

(Note that $\tilde{e}_2^{N+1}(H_{-2j+2}) = \tilde{e}_2(H_{-2j+1}) = 0$.)

□

Now, we prove Theorem 4.10(i) as below:

In Lemma 4.12, we set $j = k$. Then

$$H_{-2k+1} = (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, h_{-1}, h_{-2}, \cdots, h_{-2k+2}, h_{-2k+1}, 0, 0, \cdots)$$

and

$$\tilde{e}_2(H_{-2k+1}) = 0.$$

We will calculate the action of $\tilde{e}_1$ to H_{-2k+1} . By Lemma 4.11(i), we have

$$0 = \sigma_{-2k+2}(H_{-2k+1}) = \sigma_{-2k+4}(H_{-2k+1}) = \cdots = \sigma_{-2}(H_{-2k+1}).$$

In this case, by Lemma 4.11(i) and Lemma 4.8(ii), we have for any $s \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_{-2k}(H_{-2k+1}) = -a_{2k-1}\lambda_1 - a_{2k}\lambda_2 = \sigma_{-2k-2s}(H_{-2k+1}).$$

Since the λ 's condition is $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$, we have $-a_{2k-1}\lambda_1 - a_{2k}\lambda_2 = -h_{-2k} \leq 0$. This shows

$$\tilde{e}_1(H_{-2k+1}) = 0$$

and H_{-2k+1} is the highest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$.

Similarly, if the λ 's condition is $\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a'_{2k+1}}{a'_{2k}}$, we can show that H_{-2k} is the highest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$. $\square$

We can describe an explicit form of lowest weight vectors similarly to describe highest weight vectors. For any $j, k \geq 1$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} l_{2k-1} &:= a_{2k-1}\lambda_1 + a_{2k-2}\lambda_2, \\ l_{2k} &:= a'_{2k}\lambda_1 + a'_{2k-1}\lambda_2, \\ L_j &:= (\cdots, 0, 0, l_j, l_{j-1}, \cdots, l_2, l_1, t_\lambda, 0, 0, \cdots). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we note that the following remark:

Remark 4.13. If the condition of a weight λ is $\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} < \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \leq \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}}$ (resp. $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}} < \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \leq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k+1}}$), then $l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_{2k-1} > 0$ and $l_{2k}, l_{2k+1}, \cdots < 0$ (resp. $l_1, l_2, \cdots, l_{2k} > 0$ and $l_{2k+1}, l_{2k+2}, \cdots < 0$) by Corollary 4.7(ii).

Theorem 4.14 (Lowest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$). *If the condition of a weight λ is $\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} < \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \leq \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}}$ (resp. $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}} < \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \leq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k+1}}$), then L_{2k-1} (resp. L_{2k}) is the lowest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$.*

Proof. This is shown by the similar way to the Theorem 4.10. $\square$

By Corollary 4.7 and Theorem 4.10, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.15. *The existence conditions for the highest (or lowest) weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$ is given by:*

- (i) *If the λ 's condition is $\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \alpha$, then $B_0(\lambda)$ have the highest weight vector.*
- (ii) *If the λ 's condition is $\beta > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2}$, then $B_0(\lambda)$ have the lowest weight vector.*

Remark 4.16. Corollary 4.15 means that a weight λ is contained in Tits cone.

Remark 4.17. (i) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is affine, then $\alpha = \beta$. In this case, if $\alpha = \beta = \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2}$, then $level(\lambda) = 0$ ($level(\lambda) := \langle c, \lambda \rangle$, c : center of $\mathfrak{g}$).

- (ii) If $\mathfrak{g}$ is hyperbolic, then $\alpha > \beta$. In this case, if $\alpha \geq \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \beta$, $B_0(\lambda)$ does not have the highest weight vector and lowest weight vector.

5 Polyhedral realization of $B_0(\lambda)$ for affine and hyperbolic types of rank 2

In this section, we shall describe the explicit form of $B_0(\lambda)$ by polyhedral realization. We recall the definition of $\Xi_\iota[\lambda]$ and $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_\iota[\lambda] &:= \{\bar{S}_{j_l} \cdots \bar{S}_{j_1}(x_{j_0}) : l \geq 0, j_0, \dots, j_l \geq 1\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\bar{S}_{-j_k} \cdots \bar{S}_{-j_1}(-x_{-j_0}) : k \geq 0, j_0, \dots, j_k \geq 1\}, \\ \Sigma_\iota[\lambda] &:= \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda] (\subset \mathbb{Q}^\infty[\lambda]) : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota[\lambda]\}.\end{aligned}$$

First, we treat the case that $B_0(\lambda)$ contains the highest weight vector. We consider the following two cases of λ 's condition:

$$(i) \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}. \quad (ii) \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a'_{2k+1}}{a'_{2k}}.$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned}(i) \text{ If } \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}, \\ \Xi_\iota^1[\lambda] &:= \{\bar{S}_{-j_r} \cdots \bar{S}_{-j_1}(x_{-m} - h_{-m}) : r \geq 1, j_r \geq 1, 1 \leq m \leq 2k-1\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\bar{S}_{-j_s} \cdots \bar{S}_{-j_1}(x_{-2k}) : s \geq 1, j_s \geq 1\}, \\ \Sigma_\iota^1[\lambda] &:= \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda] : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota^1[\lambda]\}. \\ (ii) \text{ If } \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a'_{2k+1}}{a'_{2k}}, \\ \Xi_\iota^2[\lambda] &:= \{\bar{S}_{-j_r} \cdots \bar{S}_{-j_1}(x_{-m} - h_{-m}) : r \geq 1, j_r \geq 1, 1 \leq m \leq 2k\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\bar{S}_{-j_s} \cdots \bar{S}_{-j_1}(x_{-2k-1}) : s \geq 1, j_s \geq 1\}, \\ \Sigma_\iota^2[\lambda] &:= \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_\iota^\infty[\lambda] : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_\iota^2[\lambda]\}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1. *We fix an infinite sequence $\iota = (\dots, 2, 1, t_\lambda, 2, 1, \dots)$. If the condition of a weight λ is $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$ (resp. $\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a'_{2k+1}}{a'_{2k}}$), $B_0(\lambda)$ is described as below:*

$$\begin{aligned}B_0(\lambda) &= \Sigma_\iota[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_\iota^1[\lambda] \\ (\text{resp. } B_0(\lambda) &= \Sigma_\iota[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_\iota^2[\lambda]).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We shall show this theorem in the former case (i) $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$ since we can show in the latter case (ii) $\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a'_{2k+1}}{a'_{2k}}$ similarly to former case. Now, $\Xi_\iota^1[\lambda]$ is closed by $\bar{S}_k$'s, by Lemma 3.4 $\Sigma_\iota[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_\iota^1[\lambda]$ has a

crystal structure unless it is empty. We will show that $\Sigma_l[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_l^1[\lambda]$ contains $\vec{0}$, which implies that $\Sigma_l[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_l^1[\lambda]$ is non-empty, and show that $\Sigma_l[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_l^1[\lambda]$ has the unique highest weight vector. First, we will show $\Sigma_l[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_l^1[\lambda]$ contains $\vec{0}$. For the purpose, we shall evaluate the constant term of $\bar{S}_{-j_k} \cdots \bar{S}_{-j_1}(x_{-k})$.

We set

$$\varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(x) := \begin{cases} \bar{S}_{-k+l-1} \cdots \bar{S}_{-k+1} \bar{S}_{-k}(x_{-k}) & (l \leq k), \\ \bar{S}_{-k+l} \cdots \bar{S}_1 \bar{S}_{-1} \bar{S}_{-2} \cdots \bar{S}_{-k+1} \bar{S}_{-k}(x_{-k}) & (l > k). \end{cases}$$

By the similar argument in [11] Lemma 4.2, we obtain the explicit form of $\varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(x)$ up to constant term as follows:

Lemma 5.2. *Let k be odd (resp. even), then*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(x) - \varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(0) &= a'_{l+1} x_{l-k+\theta(l-k)} - a'_l x_{l-k+1+\theta(l-k+1)} \\ (\text{resp. } \varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(x) - \varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(0) &= a_{l+1} x_{l-k+\theta(l-k)} - a_l x_{l-k+1+\theta(l-k+1)}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, we calculate the constant term in $\varphi_{-k}^{(l)}$. We consider the case that k is odd. For any $l \leq k-2$, we know that $\varphi_{-k}^{(l)}$ has no constant term by its definition. And we have

$$\varphi_{-k}^{(k-2)}(x) = a'_{k-1} x_{-2} - a'_{k-2} x_{-1}.$$

By direct calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{-k}^{(k-1)} &= \bar{S}_{-2}(\varphi_{-k}^{(k-2)}) \\ &= a'_k x_{-1} - a'_{k-1} x_1 + a'_{k-1} \lambda_1, \\ \varphi_{-k}^{(k)} &= \bar{S}_{-1} \bar{S}_{-2}(\varphi_{-k}^{(k-2)}) \\ &= a'_{k+1} x_1 - a'_k x_2 + a'_{k-1} \lambda_1 + a'_k \lambda_2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $l > k$, since $\bar{S}_{-k+l}$ does not produce non-trivial constant term, we have

$$\varphi_{-k}^{(k)}(0) = \varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(0).$$

Hence, we obtain the constant term of $\varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(x)$:

$$\varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(0) = \begin{cases} a'_{k-1} \lambda_1 + a'_k \lambda_2 & (l \geq k), \\ a'_{k-1} \lambda_1 & (l = k-1), \\ 0 & (l \leq k-2). \end{cases}$$

By remark 4.9, in the condition (i) $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k-2}} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} \geq \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$ we have $h_{-1}, h_{-2}, \dots, h_{-k} < 0$ and $h_{-k-1}, h_{-k-2}, \dots > 0$. So, by the definition of h_{-j} , we have $-h_{-j} +$

$\varphi_{-j}^{(l)}(0) \geq 0$ for any j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) and $\varphi_{-j}^{(l)}(0) \geq 0$ for any j ($j > k$). This shows that constant terms in all elements in $\Xi_l^1[\lambda]$ are non-negative and then $\vec{0}$ is contained in $\Sigma_l[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_l^1[\lambda]$.

In the case that k is even, we have

$$\varphi_{-k}^{(l)}(0) = \begin{cases} a_{k-1}\lambda_1 + a_k\lambda_2 & (l \geq k), \\ a_{k-1}\lambda_1 & (l = k-1), \\ 0 & (l \leq k-2) \end{cases}$$

and $-h_{-j} + \varphi_{-j}^{(l)}(0) \geq 0$ for any j ($1 \leq j \leq k-1$) and $\varphi_{-j}^{(l)}(0) \geq 0$ for any j ($j > k$). Therefore, $\vec{0}$ is contained in $\Sigma_l[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_l^1[\lambda]$.

Next, we will show that $\Sigma_l[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_l^1[\lambda]$ has the unique highest weight vector H_{-k} . Let $v_\lambda := (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots, x_{-k}, \cdots)$ be a highest weight vector in $\Sigma_l[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_l^1[\lambda]$, which satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} x_{-j} - h_{-j} \geq 0 & (j \leq k), \\ x_{-j} \geq 0 & (j > k). \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Note that the linear function $x_{-j} - h_{-j}$ ($j \leq k$), x_{-j} ($j > k$) are generators of $\Xi_l^1[\lambda]$, and then any vector in $\Sigma_l[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_l^1[\lambda]$ satisfies the inequality (5.1).

We shall show that v_λ is uniquely determined and coincides with v_λ by the induction on the index j in the following two cases: (I) $j \leq k$. (II) $j > k$.

Note that by the condition that v_λ is a highest weight vector, we have :

$$\sigma_{-j}(v_\lambda) \leq 0 \text{ for any } j \geq 1.$$

CaseI) $j \leq k$.

For $j = 1$, we have $\sigma_{-1}(v_\lambda) = x_{-1} - \lambda_2 \leq 0$. By (5.1), we also have $x_{-1} - h_{-1} = x_{-1} - \lambda_2 \geq 0$, which implies

$$x_{-1} = \lambda_2 = h_{-1}.$$

Assume that for any $j' < j$,

$$x_{-j'} = -h_{-j'}. \quad (5.2)$$

Now, by Lemma 4.11, note that we know that

$$x_0 = (\cdots, 0, 0, t_\lambda, h_{-1}, h_{-2}, \cdots, h_{-2k+1}, \cdots)$$

is one of the highest weight vectors of $\mathbb{Z}_l^\infty[\lambda]$ and satisfies the Lemma 4.11.

Let us determine x_{-j} . By the assumption (5.2) and Lemma 4.11(iii), we have

$$\sigma_{-j}(v_\lambda) = x_{-j} - h_{-j} \leq 0. \quad (5.3)$$

By (5.1), we have

$$x_{-j} - h_{-j} \geq 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain $x_{-j} = h_{-j}$.

CaseII) $j > k$.

We note that

$$\sigma_{-1}(v_\lambda) = \sigma_{-2}(v_\lambda) = \cdots = \sigma_{-k}(v_\lambda) = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

by the assumption (5.2) and Lemma 4.11(iii). For $j = k + 1$, by Remark 4.9, we have $h_{-k-1} > 0$. In this case, since $x_{-k-1} \leq 0$, we know that $\sigma_{-k-1}(v_\lambda)$ is non-positive automatically as follows:

$$\sigma_{-k-1}(v_\lambda) = x_{-k-1} - h_{-k-1} \leq 0.$$

But, x_{-k-1} is a generator of $\Xi^1[\lambda]$ and then

$$x_{-k-1} \geq 0.$$

This shows $x_{-k-1} = 0$.

Now, assume that for any $j' < j$ ($k < j'$),

$$x_{-j'} = 0.$$

Under the assumption, we have

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{-1}(v_\lambda) = \sigma_{-2}(v_\lambda) = \cdots = \sigma_{-k}(v_\lambda) = 0, \\ \sigma_{-j}(v_\lambda) = \sigma_{-k-1}(v_\lambda) = -h_{-k-1} \leq 0 & (j : \text{even}), \\ \sigma_{-j}(v_\lambda) = 0 & (j : \text{odd}). \end{cases}$$

In this case, x_{-j} is a generator of $\Xi^1[\lambda]$ and then

$$x_{-j} \geq 0.$$

This shows $x_{-j} = 0$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$x_{-j} = \begin{cases} h_{-j} & (j \leq k), \\ 0 & (j > k). \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

Now, we know that $v_\lambda = H_{-k}$ is the unique highest weight vector in $\Sigma_t[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_t^1[\lambda]$. Since $B_0(\lambda)$ contains the unique highest weight vector [7], H_{-k} must be the unique highest weight vector in $B_0(\lambda)$. $\square$

We show the results of the explicit form of Ξ as follows:

$$\Xi_t[\lambda] = \begin{cases} a_m x_m - a_{m-1} x_{m+1} & (m \geq 1) \\ -a'_m x_{-m} + a'_{m-1} x_{-m-1} & (m \geq 1) \end{cases},$$

$$\Xi_t^1[\lambda] = \begin{cases} a'_{i+1} x_{-2j+i+1} - a'_i x_{-2j+i+2} - a'_{2j-2} \lambda_1 - a'_{2j-1} \lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-3) \\ a'_{2j-1} x_{-1} - a'_{2j-2} x_1 - a'_{2j-1} \lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, i = 2j-2) \\ a'_{i+1} x_{-2j+i+2} - a'_i x_{-2j+i+3} & (1 \leq j \leq k, i \geq 2j-1) \\ a_{i+1} x_{-2j+i+2} - a_i x_{-2j+i+3} - a_{2j-3} \lambda_1 - a_{2j-2} \lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-4) \\ a'_{i+1} x_{-2j+i+2} - a'_i x_{-2j+i+3} + a'_{2j-2} \lambda_1 + a'_{2j-1} \lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i \geq 2j-1) \\ a_{i+1} x_{-2j+i+2} - a_i x_{-2j+i+3} & (j \geq k+1, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-4) \\ a_{2j-2} x_{-1} - a_{2j-3} x_1 + a_{2j-3} \lambda_1 & (j \geq k+1, i = 2j-3) \\ a_{i+1} x_{-2j+i+3} - a_i x_{-2j+i+4} + a_{2j-3} \lambda_1 + a_{2j-2} \lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i \geq 2j-2) \end{cases},$$

$$\Xi_t^2[\lambda] = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_{i+1}x_{-2j+i} - a_i x_{-2j+i+1} - a_{2j-1}\lambda_1 - a_{2j}\lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-2) \\ a_{2j}x_{-1} - a_{2j-1}x_1 - a_{2j}\lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, i = 2j-1) \\ a_{i+1}x_{-2j+i+1} - a_i x_{-2j+i+2} & (1 \leq j \leq k, i \geq 2j) \\ a'_{i+1}x_{-2j+i+1} - a'_i x_{-2j+i+2} - a'_{2j-2}\lambda_1 - a'_{2j-1}\lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-3) \\ a_{i+1}x_{-2j+i+3} - a_i x_{-2j+i+4} + a_{2j-3}\lambda_1 + a_{2j-2}\lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i \geq 2j-2) \\ a'_{i+1}x_{-2j+i+3} - a'_i x_{-2j+i+4} & (j \geq k+1, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-5) \\ a'_{2j-3}x_{-1} - a'_{2j-4}x_1 + a'_{2j-4}\lambda_1 & (j \geq k+1, i = 2j-4) \\ a'_{i+1}x_{-2j+i+4} - a'_i x_{-2j+i+5} + a'_{2j-4}\lambda_1 + a'_{2j-3}\lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i \geq 2j-3) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that constant terms of all linear forms in $\Xi_t[\lambda]$, $\Xi_t^1[\lambda]$ and $\Xi_t^2[\lambda]$ are non-negative.

Next, we treat the case that $B_0(\lambda)$ contains the lowest weight vector. We consider the following two cases of λ 's condition:

$$(i) \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}} \geq \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}. \quad (ii) \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k+1}} \geq \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}}.$$

We define

$$(i) \text{ If } \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}} \geq \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_t^3[\lambda] := & \{ \bar{S}_{j_r} \cdots \bar{S}_{j_1}(-x_m + l_m) : r \geq 1, j_r \geq 1, 1 \leq m \leq 2k-1 \} \\ & \cup \{ \bar{S}_{j_s} \cdots \bar{S}_{j_1}(-x_{2k}) : s \geq 1, j_s \geq 1 \}, \\ \Sigma_t^3[\lambda] := & \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_t^\infty[\lambda] : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_t^3[\lambda] \}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k+1}} \geq \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_t^4[\lambda] := & \{ \bar{S}_{j_r} \cdots \bar{S}_{j_1}(-x_m + l_m) : r \geq 1, j_r \geq 1, 1 \leq m \leq 2k \} \\ & \cup \{ \bar{S}_{j_s} \cdots \bar{S}_{j_1}(-x_{2k+1}) : s \geq 1, j_s \geq 1 \}, \\ \Sigma_t^4[\lambda] := & \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_t^\infty[\lambda] : \varphi(\vec{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \Xi_t^4[\lambda] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.3. *We fix an infinite sequence $\iota = (\cdots, 2, 1, t_\lambda, 2, 1, \cdots)$. If the condition of a weight λ is $\frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}} \geq \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k-1}}$ (resp. $\frac{a_{2k}}{a_{2k+1}} \geq \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \frac{a'_{2k-1}}{a'_{2k}}$), $B_0(\lambda)$ is described as below:*

$$B_0(\lambda) = \Sigma_t[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_t^3[\lambda]$$

$$(resp. B_0(\lambda) = \Sigma_t[\lambda] \cap \Sigma_t^4[\lambda]).$$

Proof. This is the same as Theorem 5.1. □

We show the results of the explicit form of Ξ as follows:

$$\Xi_\iota[\lambda] = \begin{cases} a_m x_m - a_{m-1} x_{m+1} & (m \geq 1) \\ -a'_m x_{-m} + a'_{m-1} x_{-m-1} & (m \geq 1) \end{cases},$$

$$\Xi_\iota^3[\lambda] = \begin{cases} -a_{i+1} x_{2j-i-1} + a_i x_{2j-i-2} + a_{2j-1} \lambda_1 + a_{2j-2} \lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-3) \\ -a_{2j-1} x_1 + a_{2j-2} x_{-1} + a_{2j-1} \lambda_1 & (1 \leq j \leq k, i = 2j-2) \\ -a_{i+1} x_{2j-i-2} + a_i x_{2j-i-3} & (1 \leq j \leq k, i \geq 2j-1) \\ -a'_{i+1} x_{2j-i-2} + a'_i x_{2j-i-3} + a'_{2j-2} \lambda_1 + a'_{2j-3} \lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-4) \\ -a_{i+1} x_{2j-i-2} + a_i x_{2j-i-3} - a_{2j-1} \lambda_1 - a_{2j-2} \lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i \geq 2j-1) \\ -a'_{i+1} x_{2j-i-2} + a'_i x_{2j-i-3} & (j \geq k+1, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-4) \\ -a'_{2j-2} x_1 + a'_{2j-3} x_{-1} - a'_{2j-3} \lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i = 2j-3) \\ -a'_{i+1} x_{2j-i-3} + a'_i x_{2j-i-4} - a'_{2j-2} \lambda_1 - a'_{2j-3} \lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i \geq 2j-2) \end{cases},$$

$$\Xi_\iota^4[\lambda] = \begin{cases} -a'_{i+1} x_{2j-i} + a'_i x_{2j-i-1} + a'_{2j} \lambda_1 + a'_{2j-1} \lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-2) \\ -a_{2j} x_1 + a_{2j-1} x_{-1} + a'_{2j} \lambda_1 & (1 \leq j \leq k, i = 2j-1) \\ -a'_{i+1} x_{2j-i-1} + a'_i x_{2j-i-2} & (1 \leq j \leq k, i \geq 2j) \\ -a_{i+1} x_{2j-i-1} + a_i x_{2j-i-2} + a_{2j-1} \lambda_1 + a_{2j-2} \lambda_2 & (1 \leq j \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-3) \\ -a'_{i+1} x_{2j-i-1} + a'_i x_{2j-i-2} - a'_{2j} \lambda_1 - a'_{2j-1} \lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i \geq 2j) \\ -a_{i+1} x_{2j-i-1} + a_i x_{2j-i-2} & (j \geq k+1, 0 \leq i \leq 2j-3) \\ -a_{2j-1} x_1 + a_{2j-2} x_{-1} - a_{2j-2} \lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i = 2j-2) \\ -a_{i+1} x_{2j-i-2} + a_i x_{2j-i-3} - a_{2j-1} \lambda_1 - a_{2j-2} \lambda_2 & (j \geq k+1, i \geq 2j-1) \end{cases}.$$

A Highest and lowest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$ for classical types of rank 2

In this appendix, we consider the case of classical types A_2 , B_2 and G_2 . Difference of affine or hyperbolic type is that the integer a_l may not positive. We need to treat classical types case by case. If we obtain the explicit form of the highest (or lowest) weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_r^\infty[\lambda]$, we can describe the explicit form of $B_0(\lambda)$ by the same method of previous section. In particular, the form of Ξ is the same. So, we describe the explicit form of the highest (or lowest) weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$ in the following subsection.

A.1 A_2 case

In this case, we have $c_1 = c_2$ and then $a_l = a'_l$. We calculate integer a_l till a_l is zero (c.f. Example 4.4). Then,

$$a_1 = 1, a_2 = 1, a_3 = 0.$$

Therefore, the sequence in Corollary 4.7 is

$$1 > 0.$$

If $\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > 1$, then $h_1 < 0$, $h_2 > 0$ and $l_1, l_2 > 0$. If $\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = 1$, then $h_1 < 0$, $h_2 = 0$ and $l_1 > 0, l_2 = 0$. If $1 > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > 0$, then $h_1, h_2 < 0$ and $l_1 > 0, l_2 < 0$.

Consequently, we describe the highest (or lowest) weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$ as follows:

- (i) If $\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > 1$, then H_{-1} is the highest weight vector and L_2 is the lowest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$.
- (ii) If $\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = 1$, then H_{-1} is the highest weight vector and L_1 is the lowest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$.
- (iii) If $1 > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > 0$, then H_{-2} is the highest weight vector and L_1 is the lowest weight vector of $B_0(\lambda)$.

A.2 B_2 case

In this case, we have $c_1 = 2, c_2 = 1$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 1, a_2 = 2, a_3 = 1, a_4 = 0, \\ a'_1 &= 1, a'_2 = 1, a'_3 = 1, a'_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence in Corollary 4.7 is

$$2 > 1 > 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain a following table 1 (H : highest weight vector, L : Lowest weight vector):

Table 1:

λ 's condition	H	L
$\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > 2$	H_{-1}	L_3
$\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = 2$	H_{-1}	L_2
$2 > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > 1$	H_{-2}	L_2
$\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = 1$	H_{-2}	L_1
$1 > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > 0$	H_{-3}	L_1

A.3 G_2 case

In this case, we have $c_1 = 1, c_2 = 3$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= 1, a_2 = 1, a_3 = 2, a_4 = 1, a_5 = 1, a_6 = 0, \\ a'_1 &= 1, a'_2 = 3, a'_3 = 2, a'_4 = 3, a'_5 = 1, a'_6 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence in Corollary 4.7 is

$$1 > \frac{2}{3} > \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{3} > 0.$$

Therefore, we obtain a following table 2:

Table 2:

λ 's condition	H	L
$\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > 1$	H_{-1}	L_5
$\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = 1$	H_{-1}	L_4
$1 > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \frac{2}{3}$	H_{-2}	L_4
$\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = \frac{2}{3}$	H_{-2}	L_3
$\frac{2}{3} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \frac{1}{2}$	H_{-3}	L_3
$\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = \frac{1}{2}$	H_{-3}	L_2
$\frac{1}{2} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > \frac{1}{3}$	H_{-4}	L_2
$\frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} = \frac{1}{3}$	H_{-4}	L_1
$\frac{1}{3} > \frac{\lambda_1}{-\lambda_2} > 0$	H_{-5}	L_1

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to Professor T.Nakashima for his support and encouragement during the course of this work. He is also thankful to T.Yokonuma, K.Shinoda, K.Gomi, Y.Koga and H.Miyachi for helpful comments and kindly advices.

References

- [1] A. Hoshino and T. Nakashima, Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for Modified Quantum Algebras of Type A , math.QA/0401087.
- [2] V. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3rd edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [3] M. Kashiwara, Crystallizing the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras, Comm. Math. Phys., **133** (1990), 249–260.
- [4] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras, Duke Math. J., **63** (1991), 465–516.
- [5] M. Kashiwara, Global crystal bases of quantum groups, Duke Math. J., **69** (1993), 455–485.

- [6] M. Kashiwara, Crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula, *Duke Math. J.*, **71** (1993), 839–858.
- [7] M. Kashiwara, Crystal base of modified quantized enveloping algebra, *Duke Math. J.*, **73** (1994), 383–413 .
- [8] G. Lusztig, Canonical bases in tensor products, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **89** (1992), 8177-8179.
- [9] G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [10] T. Nakashima, Polyhedral Realizations of Crystal Bases for Integrable Highest Weight Modules, *J. Algebra*, **219** (1999), 571–597.
- [11] T. Nakashima and A. Zelevinsky, Polyhedral Realization of Crystal Bases for Quantized Kac-Moody Algebras, *Adv. in Math.*, **131** No.1 (1997), 253–278.